IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.H.L.DATTU
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.T.SANKARAN

FRIDAY, THE 10TH AUGUST 2007 / 19TH SRAVANA 1929

OP.No. 9879 of 2000(A)

PETITIONER:

M.S.MURALEEDHARAN, S/O.SUBBAYYA,
MALIACKKAL HOUSE, RESIDING AT
KODUNGALLOOR AND SECRETARY MAVALINAD,
S.R.M. ROAD, KOCHI-18.

BY ADV. SRI.K.S.MENON

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. UNION OF INDIA, REPRESENTED BY HOME SECRETARY,
   NEW DELHI.

2. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY CHIEF SECRETARY,
   SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
   THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.MEERA.

THIS ORIGINAL PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 10/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

H.L.DATTU, C.J. & K.T.SANKARAN, J.

O.P. No.9879 of 2000-A

Dated this the 10th day of August, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

H.L.Dattu, C.J.

After going through the averments made in the original petition and also the prayers sought for, we are of the firm opinion that the petition so filed does not have the characteristics of a public interest litigation. The expression "Public Interest Litigation" has been explained by the Apex Court in a number of decisions and in fact, the Apex Court has explained that if the court comes to the conclusion that there is no public interest in any of the petitions, the same has to be thrown out.

(2). As we have already stated, the issues raised in this original petition in no way can be considered as in the interest of the public at large. The prayers sought for by the petitioner in this original petition cannot be granted by us. Therefore, the original petition requires to be rejected and it is rejected.

(3). In view of order passed in the original petition, the reliefs sought in C.M.P No.16428 of 2000 need not be considered by this court. Accordingly, the said C.M.P is rejected.

Ordered accordingly.

(H.L.DATTU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(K.T.SANKARAN)
JUDGE

MS